

**UNANTICIPATED EDUCATIONAL CONSEQUENCES OF A POSITIVE
PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP***

Running Head: Unanticipated Consequences

by

Ruth N. López Turley

and

Matthew Desmond

Department of Sociology
University of Wisconsin – Madison

August 2008

* We thank Brian An, Jill Bowdon, Jorge Chapa, Mustafa Emirbayer, Anna Haskins, John Levi Martin, and Marta Tienda for their helpful insights and are obliged to James Beaudoin and Bill Buckingham at the University of Wisconsin Applied Population Lab for their technical assistance. This research was supported by grants from the Ford, Mellon, Hewlett, and Spencer Foundations, the National Science Foundation (GRANT # SES-0350990), and received institutional support from the Office of Population Research at Princeton University (NICHD Grant # R24 H0047879). Some of the data used for this study are restricted and, therefore, not available from the authors. Please direct all correspondence to Ruth López Turley, Department of Sociology, University of Wisconsin — Madison, 1180 Observatory Drive, Madison, Wisconsin, 53706-1393, USA.

UNANTICIPATED EDUCATIONAL CONSEQUENCES OF A POSITIVE PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP

ABSTRACT

If today there exists a single transcendent idea about the nature of social reality, it is that a positive parent-child relationship improves children's chances of succeeding in school. However, this paper demonstrates that a positive parent-child relationship can exert a positive effect on one proximate educational outcome while inadvertently exerting a negative effect on another, more distant, educational outcome. Specifically, we find that while strong, positive parent-child relations are associated with better academic achievement at the secondary level, they are also associated with an increased desire to live at home during college, which in turn decreases students' chances of enrolling in a four-year college. These findings demonstrate that positive family dynamics can influence educational outcomes in potentially divergent (and unanticipated) directions.

UNANTICIPATED EDUCATIONAL CONSEQUENCES OF A POSITIVE PARENT-CHILD RELATIONSHIP

INTRODUCTION

If today there exists a single, clear and transcendent idea about the nature of social reality, it is that a “good” family—one comprised, at minimum, of a parent or set of parents who has a positive relationship with their children—helps one to succeed in this world. Nowhere is this more salient than in our notions of the intimate connection between family dynamics and educational achievement and attainment. Psychologists long have claimed that nurturing parenting styles bolster children’s competence and success in school (Baldwin 1948, Sears et al. 1957). And at least since the publication of Peter Blau and Otis Dudley Duncan’s *The American Occupational Structure* (1967), sociologists have underscored a positive association between an affirming family life and children’s well-being, including their adult quality of life.

When parents actively involve themselves in their children’s lives—when they monitor their progress, pay attention to their moods and struggles, and communicate openly and frequently with them—their children reap high educational rewards (Heymann & Earle 2000, Mullis et al. 2003). Positive parenting techniques can soften the negative effects of economic disadvantage (Crosnoe et al. 2002), and a secure and emotionally healthy home life facilitates students’ success in the classroom (Durkin 1995). Studies have demonstrated that the frequency of parent-to-child communication, as well as the encouraging nature of that communication, is strongly correlated with children’s intellectual development, language acquisition, and academic achievement (Brooks-Gunn & Markman 2005, Hart & Risley 1995). The academic achievement of children with neglectful parents, on the other hand, is far lower than that of students whose parents are involved in their development (Jeynes 2005, Muller 1993).¹ The social-scientific evidence points to a clear conclusion: Students benefit considerably from a positive, well-developed relationship with their parents.

Perhaps it is not surprising that the vast majority of studies investigating the link between the parent-child relationship and educational outcomes has concentrated on academic achievement at the primary and secondary levels, when children are attending school while living with their parents. However, our knowledge of the relationship between two of society’s most powerful civilizing institutions—home and school—remains underdeveloped with respect

¹ In a related vein, the literature finds a positive association between familism and educational aspirations and expectations Pribesh, Shana , and Douglas Downey. 1999. " Why are Residential and School Moves Associated with Poor School Performance?" *Demography* 36:521-34, Quian, Zhenchao , and Sampson Lee Blair. 1999. "Racial/Ethnic Differences in Educational Aspirations of High School Seniors." *Sociological Perspectives* 42:605-25.. Researchers have found that, when it comes to educational outcomes, familism mitigates the negative experiences associated with minority status Ream, Robert. 2005. "Counterfeit Social Capital and Mexican American Underachievement." *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 25:237-62, Zhou, Min, and Carl Bankston. 1998. *Growing Up American: How Vietnamese Children Adapt to Life in the United States*. Russell Sage Foundation.; and some have documented a positive relationship between familism and high school completion among “at risk” youth Furstenberg, Frank, and Mary Elizabeth Hughes. 1995. "Social Capital and Successful Development among At-risk Youth." *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 57:580-92..

to postsecondary educational outcomes. It is well documented that a strong parent-child relationship helps students excel in primary and secondary school, but is it positively associated with college enrollment?

There is good reason to expect as much—because the positive association between the parent-child relationship and educational success is so pronounced at the elementary and secondary levels; because students with healthy, intimate relationships with their parents collect better grades and higher test scores, compared to their peers with poor relationships with their parents (Spera 2005, Steinberg et al. 1992); and because parental involvement does not seem to wane as children grow into adolescents (Astone & McLanahan 1991, Glasgow et al. 1997). However, there are equally compelling reasons to expect that a positive parent-child relationship actually is negatively correlated with college enrollment. Just as a baby finds it harder to wean herself if her mother’s milk is sweet, the supported and esteemed child may find it difficult to leave home to attend college.

Tinto’s Separation Thesis

In *Leaving College* (1993), Vincent Tinto, building off Dutch anthropologist Arnold van Gennep’s (1960 [1909]) theory of rites of passage, postulates that a successful college student must execute a break from past acquaintances and intimates “most typically associated with the family, the local high school, and local areas of residence” (95). The first step toward a fruitful college career, reasons Tinto, is that of separation, of denying, at least in part, familiar modes of thinking and interacting rooted in one’s upbringing—as well as familiar people, especially family members. We refer to this idea as Tinto’s separation thesis.

Tinto’s separation thesis is accompanied by two subsequent stages—transitioning from high school to college and incorporating oneself into college society—that, together, comprise a theory that seeks to isolate “the interactional roots of the *early stages of withdrawal from institutions of higher education*” (Tinto 1993: 95, emphasis in original). According to a recent review (Kuh et al. 2006: 11), this theory has ascended to “near paradigmatic status.” Yet the most provocative idea of Tinto’s theory, his separation thesis, has not been marshaled to help explain college enrollment patterns (as the idea originally was developed to help identify the causes of college attrition).

This paper, then, seeks to expand and enrich Tinto’s separation thesis by employing it when examining the association between the parent-child relationship and college enrollment. While conventional sociological and psychological models predict that a positive parent-child relationship promotes academic achievement and attainment and, thus, should be associated with increased college enrollment, Tinto’s separation thesis predicts that a positive parent-child relationship makes it harder for students to distance themselves from family life and thus deters students from enrolling in college, net of their ability and track record. Drawing on data from the Texas Higher Education Opportunity Project, this article provides empirical support for Tinto’s separation thesis.

As expected, we find that students who have strong and encouraging relationships with their parents perform better in high school than those who do not; however, these students also

form a stronger attachment to their family, manifest in their increased desire to stay home during college, which in turn decreases their chances of enrolling in a four-year college. Far from producing uniform effects across time and space, a positive and supportive parent-child relationship can exert a positive effect on one (proximate) educational outcome while inadvertently exerting a negative effect on another (more distant) educational outcome (see Figure 1 below). Whereas the conventional model assumes that a family's supportiveness is positively correlated with virtually all measures of educational attainment and achievement, our findings present a more complicated picture, one in which children from well-meaning, nurturing families are advantaged when it comes to high school performance but disadvantaged when it comes to enrolling in a four-year college.

[Figure 1 about here.]

DATA & METHODS

This study draws on data from the Texas Higher Education Opportunity Project (THEOP, Senior Cohort, Waves 1 and 2), a survey of high school seniors' transition to college. The sample is comprised of 13,803 seniors attending 96 Texas public high schools in the spring of 2002.² Students were selected through stratified random sampling and surveyed during their last semester in high school—a time when post-graduation plans, for most, should have been solidified. Data were collected through self-administered surveys, which, for the most part, were completed during class time (a small number of surveys were mailed to students).

Parent-Child Relationship Scale

Six items regarding students' relationships with their parents/guardians (statements students evaluated by marking strongly disagree, disagree, agree, or strongly agree) were combined to form a standardized scale, which we refer to as the parent-child relationship scale (PCR) (see Table 1). A considerable amount of evidence suggests that a positive parent-child relationship is associated with positive educational outcomes (Englund et al. 2008, Spera 2005). Specifically, researchers have found that parental investment in and respect for their children is associated with high academic achievement (Perna & Titus 2005, Steinberg et al. 1992). Accordingly, to gauge the degree to which parents invest and value their children's opinions, we include the following item in our PCR scale: "When we discuss things, my parents/guardians consider my point of view." Next, because studies have shown that emotional support and stability created within the home environment is strongly related to educational attainment and achievement (Durkin 1995, Muller 1993, Tenenbaum et al. 2007), we include the following two items in our scale: "My parents/guardians accept me as I am" and "My parents/guardians can tell when I'm upset about something." These items seek to measure to degree to which students feel supported and welcomed by their parents as well as how well parents are tuned into their children's emotional moods and struggles. Furthermore, since a strong caregiving bond between parents and children, epitomized by the degree to which children self-disclose to their parents and seek their guidance, has been shown to decrease the risk of dropping out of high school

² All public high schools in Texas were included in the sampling frame except charter schools, special education schools, and schools with fewer than 10 seniors.

(Jimerson et al. 2000, Jozefowicz-Simbeni 2003) and stimulate students' motivation in the classroom (Aquilino & Supple 2001), we include the following two items in our scale: "I like to get my parents'/guardians' point of view on things I'm concerned about" and "I tell my parents'/guardians about my problems and troubles." Finally, because students who think their parents offer them little support and guidance do worse in school, have more behavioral problems, and develop lower levels of self-esteem compared to students who give their parents high marks (Scholte et al. 2001), we include an item that captures students' overall evaluation of their parents: "My parents/guardians do a good job as parents."³

[Table 1 about here.]

Thus, our parent-child relationship scale coalesces six items dealing with students' perceptions of their relationship with their parents; each item is motivated by previous research linking certain parental styles or practices with positive educational outcomes. Additionally, since we focus on the parent-child relationship, we take account of whether students lived with their mother, their father, a female guardian, or a male guardian. We also consider the number of times students changed schools, which is associated with lower academic achievement (Martinez & Forgatch 2002, Pong & Ju 2000).

Student-reported data, like parent-reported data, is hardly free of bias. Parents' and students' perceptions of similar phenomena can diverge in significant ways, and, unfortunately, our data do not allow us to corroborate students' responses with parents'. Only careful, first-hand observation of interactions between children and parents could tender a more objective account of the parent-child relationship (cf. Brooks-Gunn & Markman 2005, Caldwell & Bradley 1984, Lareau 2003). Nevertheless, student-reported information is an important data source worthy of serious treatment. One cannot hope to understand how students respond to a relationship without taking into account how they perceive that relationship. For our purposes, we hope to determine how students' evaluations of their relationship with their parents affect certain outcomes. What is more, students' perceptions of their relationship with their parents offer a glimpse, however incomplete, into actual household dynamics. As one team of researchers has pointed out, "the subjective image of parenting styles reported by adolescents provides one window through which to view the internal dynamics of the family environment" (Glasgow et al. 1997: 512). And although the quality of the parent-child relationship varies throughout the life-course, we focus on this relationship during the children's senior year of high school – a time when students are making important college-going decisions.

In a similar vein, we should emphasize that our parent-child relationship scale is a measure of the quality of the parent-child relationship, not the degree to which parents are directly involved in their children's school work. Like the parent-child relationship, parental involvement has been shown to increase students' educational performance at the elementary

³ Additionally, the THEOP survey includes three more items deal with the parent-child relationship: "My parents/guardians expect too much from me," "I don't get much attention from my parents/guardians," and "At least one of my parents/guardians is home when I get home from school." However, these items possessed low item-test and item-rest correlations and therefore were excluded so that the highest possible scale reliability coefficient ($\alpha = 0.88$) could be obtained.

(Hara & Burke 1998) and high school levels (Otto & Atkinson 1997), to curb behavioral problems (Amato & Rivera 1999), to lower the likelihood of dropping out (McNeal 1999), and to bolster students' drive (Griffith 1999). However, we found that lower achieving students indicated that their parents were significantly more involved in their school work. This is not surprising, given that many of the parental involvement questions in the THEOP survey are conditional on poor performance. For example, students were asked, "How often do your parents or guardians ...remind you to do your homework *if you forget?* ...try to make you work harder *if you get bad grades?* ...know when you are *having trouble with your homework?*" As a result, the THEOP measure of parental involvement does not capture parents' proactive participation, as would questions dealing with the degree to which parents volunteer at school or participate in parent teacher conferences (Epstein 1995), but rather their responses to children in need of remedial intervention. Therefore, we do not use this measure of parent involvement in our analyses (cf. Hill 2001).

Proximate and Distant Educational Outcomes

To explore how the quality of the parent-child relationship affects both proximate and distant educational outcomes, we investigate the relationship between the PCR scale and (1) academic achievement in high school (proximate outcome), (2) the desire to live at home during college (proximate outcome), and (3) enrollment in a four-year college one year later (a more distant outcome). The first outcome, academic achievement, is a combined measure of standardized grades and standardized class rank. Grades were calculated as the average grade in English, Math, Science, and History/Social Science during the most recent grading period (1 = lower than C, 2 = C, 3 = B, 4 = A). We also include class rank because of its special importance in Texas, where students in the top 10% of their class are guaranteed admission to any of the state's public colleges and universities. Students who did not know their rank (about 39% of the sample) were asked to provide their best estimate, yielding a response rate of 97%. For ease of interpretation, we reverse coded class rank, so that higher values refer to a better rank. Then, grades and rank were standardized (mean = 0, standard deviation = 1) and averaged.

The second proximate outcome, the desire to live at home during college, is based on the question, "In choosing a college or university to attend, how important to you are/were each of the following? . . . Ability to attend school while living at home." Approximately 61% of the students responded that the ability to attend school while living at home was somewhat or very important. About 6% of students indicated they did not aspire or expect to continue their education beyond high school and therefore were not asked about their preference to live at home during college. Because students without college plans and those who had dropped out of high school before their senior year were systematically excluded, our findings may be somewhat conservative.

Finally, the more distant outcome, college enrollment, was measured about a year after high school graduation, when respondents were asked if they had enrolled in a bachelor's degree program at any point since September 2002 (the fall semester following high school graduation). We did not include enrollment in a two-year or less-than-two-year college because this type of college enrollment is associated with a significantly lower likelihood of obtaining a four-year degree. For example, our calculations show that among students in the National Educational

Longitudinal Study (NELS), about 79% of those who initially attended a community college had not completed a four-year degree eight years later, compared to only 27% of those who initially attended a four-year college. Other researchers report similar findings, noting that although community colleges may increase total years of schooling, they do not appear to change the likelihood of obtaining a bachelor's degree (Rouse 1995). This is especially true for Hispanic students (who make up 36% of our sample), where those who attend a four-year college right after high school are much more likely to obtain a bachelor's degree than those who first attend a community college (Arbona & Nora 2007). Additional studies show that bachelor's degrees, especially those obtained without transferring institutions, are associated with much higher earnings than two-year degrees (Light & Strayer 2004). Furthermore, we expect the desire to live at home during college to play a more important role in four-year college enrollment than community college enrollment, where students more frequently have the option to live at home. For all these reasons, we focus on enrollment in a bachelor's degree program, rather than enrollment in community colleges.

Explaining Separation

There are several factors that facilitate the separation described by Tinto as a necessary precursor to a successful college career—and we take into account many of them. First, many researchers have demonstrated that parents' education and socioeconomic status (SES) affects how they socialize their children to education (Crosnoe et al. 2002, Lareau 2003, Lee & Bowen 2006). A parent familiar with college, as well as one prepared to pay for it, might be better able to groom a child for separation than a parent lacking a postsecondary education or means to pay for the high costs of college. As Tinto (1993: 97) remarked, "For many individuals from college educated families, the transition to college may be an accepted, indeed encouraged, movement that most persons are expected to make in the course of their adult lives." Accordingly, we incorporate socioeconomic status into our models, using parents' education (the highest level completed by either parent or guardian), together with home ownership.⁴

Second, parental aspirations and educational goals are directly linked to their children's academic aspirations, achievement, and attainment (Coleman 1988, Perna & Titus 2005). Research has shown that students find it easier to separate from their parents if the latter encourages separation on account of college enrollment (Turley 2006). For these reasons, we consider parents' aspirations: namely, if they encouraged their children to go to college. Not surprisingly, virtually all students in our dataset had parents who encouraged them to go to college. Accordingly, to make this variable more meaningful, we distinguish not only between students whose parents encouraged them to attend college and those whose parents did not (6%), but also between students whose parents listed college as one of several options (e.g., entering the military, attending a trade school, getting a job) (70%) and those whose parents encouraged college attendance alone (24%). We have selected the largest group as the reference category.

Third, students' membership in marginalized groups might exacerbate their chances of successfully separating from their familiar associations and transitioning into college. "Foreign

⁴ As a rule, most adolescents cannot provide an accurate estimate of their parents' income; accordingly, these students were not asked to report it.

students, students from very small rural communities, and students from distinct social, ethnic, or religious communities may also find separation particularly difficult. For them, separation may represent a major shift in the way they construct their daily lives” (Tinto 1993: 96-97). As such, we consider student characteristics, including their gender, foreign-born status, race/ethnicity, and whether they aspire and expect to complete a four-year college degree. Aspirations are based on how far students would *like* to go in school, while expectations are based on how far they *think* they will go (emphases in questionnaire).

Table 2 lists all the variables used in our analyses, including the percentage of missing values imputed for each variable (using NORM software, which is appropriate for both categorical and continuous variables). College enrollment has much more missing data than other variables because it was measured during the second wave of data collection. As a result, missing values for all variables were estimated using multiple imputation, a technique that aims to preserve the characteristics of the dataset as a whole (including dependent variables), rather than specific variables, and is appropriate for addressing various types of missing data, both those missing at random and those not missing at random (Schafer & Graham 2002). Five equally plausible complete datasets were constructed from information obtained from the observed data (from a total of 1,000 iterations), since accurate results typically can be obtained from five to ten imputations (Schafer 1999).⁵ All statistical analyses were repeated on each of these datasets, producing five sets of results that were combined using Rubin’s rule of combination (Rubin 1987).

[Table 2 about here.]

In what follows, we use ordinary least squares and logistic regression to explore the relationship between the parent-child relationship and proximate and distant educational outcomes. We begin by comparing the effect of the parent-child relationship on students’ academic achievement in high school with its effect on students’ desire to live at home during college. We then investigate how achievement and desire to stay at home affects four-year college enrollment a year later. In all regressions, we report robust standard errors which are adjusted for clustering.

RESULTS

As expected, students who evaluate their relationship with their parents in a more positive light tend to have higher academic achievement, at least in terms of grades and high school class rank. Table 3 shows that, after controlling for demographic and socioeconomic factors, there is a positive and significant association between PCR and academic achievement. Other factors associated with significantly higher achievement in high school include having a mother and father in the household, fewer moves to different schools, parents with college experience,

⁵ Multiple imputation simulations indicate that accurate estimates can be obtained even when there is a large portion of missing data, so long as the effect size and sample size are sufficiently large Graham, John W., Allison E. Olchowski, and Tamika D. Gilreath. 2007. "How Many Imputations are Really Needed? Some Practical Clarifications of Multiple Imputation Theory." *Prevention Science* 8:206-213.. In our case, both are sufficiently large.

parents who encouraged college only, being female and white, and aspiring and expecting to finish college. Most importantly, this regression suggests that students tend to perform better in high school when they have a positive relationship with their parents—parents who, according to their children, do a good job of parenting, can tell when their children are upset, can discuss their children’s problems with them, and so forth.

[Table 3 about here.]

However, a positive parent-child relationship also increases the likelihood that students will want to stay home for college, which in turn decreases their chances of enrolling in a four-year college a year later. Table 3 shows that, net of other factors, improving the parent-child relationship by a standard deviation increases the likelihood of wanting to live at home during college by about 22% ($\exp^{0.20}$). Students with better relations with their parents are more likely to want to extend their time at home through the college years. Of course, there are many reasons why students may prefer to stay at home. Table 3 shows that the students most likely to want to live at home during college include those whose parents are disadvantaged socioeconomically, those who were born outside the U.S., those who are nonwhite, and those who do not aspire or expect to finish college. In fact, regardless of demographic and socioeconomic factors, Hispanic students are 2.2 ($\exp^{0.76}$) times as likely as whites to state that it is important for them to stay home for college, a finding that complements previous research on Hispanic familism (Desmond & Turley forthcoming, Marin & Marin 1991). In addition, students whose parents encouraged college attendance alone were less likely to prefer to stay home for college than students whose parents encouraged them to consider college as but one of several options (perhaps because the other options were local); and students whose parents did not encourage college attendance also were less likely to want to stay home for college. Most important, taking account of all of these factors, a positive parent-child relationship is associated with a significantly increased likelihood of wanting to stay home for college.

Furthermore, after controlling for demographic, socioeconomic, and academic factors, Table 3 shows that students who report that it is important for them to live at home during college are about 42% ($1-\exp^{-0.54}$) less likely to enroll in a four-year college than their peers who report that staying home is not important. In contrast, a standard deviation of improved high school achievement is associated with a 67% ($\exp^{0.52}$) increase in the likelihood of enrolling in college. Both these effects are statistically significant, suggesting that there are two contrasting patterns. On the one hand, a positive parent-child relationship is associated with a better high school academic achievement that in turn is associated with a higher likelihood of enrolling in a four-year college. On the other hand, a positive parent-child relationship also is associated with an increased likelihood of wanting to live at home during college, which in turn is associated with a lower likelihood of enrolling in a four-year college. In fact, after controlling for relevant demographic and socioeconomic attributes, our parent-child relationship scale exerted *no significant effect* on college enrollment, a finding that challenges other sociological accounts (cf. Crosnoe et al. 2002, Perna & Titus 2005) as well as the conventional wisdom regarding the importance of the parent-child relationship in determining educational outcomes.

DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION

This paper set out to determine if a positive parent-child relationship advantages or disadvantages students when it comes to college enrollment. Overwhelmingly, scholars examining elementary and high school students have concluded that students benefit in a panoply of ways from a positive parent-child relationship (Xitao & Chen 2004). Our study supports these findings, demonstrating that a strong, supportive parent-child relationship is positively correlated with higher achievement. However, it also finds that as children's evaluations of their parents grow more positive, their desire to stay at home during college increases, which, in turn, decreases their chances of enrolling in a four-year college. This finding lends support to Tinto's (1993) separation thesis: Children more strongly connected to their parents find it difficult to enact the ever-important break from former associations and lifestyles, a break that is fundamental if one wishes to enroll and excel in college (cf. Aquilino & Supple 2001, Best et al. 1997).

Positive family ties can exert a variety of effects that simultaneously influence individual outcomes in divergent directions. When it comes to students' educational advancement, a positive parent-child relationship might be simultaneously beneficial and harmful.⁶ There are useful implications here for the way social scientists conceptualize the relationship between family life and educational outcomes. The parent-child relationship and educational outcomes are not always bound together in a simple monotonic relationship; in some cases, supportive and invested parents do not bring about positive educational outcomes for their children. We speak here not of exceptions to the rule but of systematic patterns identified in our data. Supportive parents might nourish in their children two loyalties—that to school and to family. Although these loyalties can coexist harmoniously while students remain in primary and secondary school, they may find themselves at stark odds during the transition to college. In particular, positive parenting might produce the successful high school student who has no intention of leaving home to attend college, even in states like Texas, where admission for top graduates is guaranteed. Such self-enforced limitations could force students (including high achievers) to consider only a few institutions close to home rather than the drastically wider array of institutions further away, or, worse, they could discourage students from going to college altogether.

Since Diana Baurmind (1971) developed her typology of parenting styles, psychologists have argued that “authoritative parents”—those who provide their children with an effective balance of support and structure, warmth and discipline, who are invested in and responsive to

⁶ It is important to note that living at home during college is not a negative outcome in and of itself. When students remain at home upon graduating from high school, their families may benefit from having an additional wage earner in the household; their younger siblings and other relatives may benefit from having an additional caregiver; and their communities may benefit from having an additional worker and citizen. The students themselves may benefit from remaining embedded in tight friendship and kinship networks while transitioning into adulthood. Of course, in an equal number of cases, the opposite may be true. The strains adult-age children place on their family's resources might outweigh their contributions to them; and, should they continue their education at a local postsecondary institution, familistic obligations might infringe on students' studies and deflate their aspirations Dubas, Judith Semon, and Anne Petersen. 1996. "Geographical Distance from Parents and Adjustment During Adolescence and Young Adulthood." *New Directions for Child Development* 71:3-19, White, Lynn, and Naomi Lacy. 1997. "The Effects of Age at Home Leaving and Pathways from Home on Educational Attainment." *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 59:982-95..

their children's needs—advantage their children in school vis-à-vis those who adopt other parenting styles (e.g., indulgent, uninvolved, authoritarian) (Glasgow et al. 1997, Spera 2005). Because this line of research has been relegated to examining educational outcomes at the primary and secondary levels, we believe future studies could harvest valuable insights if they extended Baumrind's typology to analyzing the correspondence between parenting styles and postsecondary educational outcomes, employing carefully-designed psychological indexes (e.g., Steinberg et al. 1994) that generate refined measures of parenting practices, indexes to which we did not have access in this study.

THEOP data allowed us to construct a reliable PCR scale and assess its impact on students' college enrollment patterns; however, we encourage social scientists to evaluate the findings of this study through research that draws on more nationally-representative datasets. Texas has a Hispanic population above the national average; in fact, over a third of the students in this sample are Hispanic, and, relative to white students, Hispanic students tend to have lower academic achievement and are significantly more likely to want to live at home during college. (Note, however, that our key finding remains after taking into account our sample's racial and ethnic composition.) Second, with respect to Texas' "Top 10% Law," we might expect students living in a state that guarantees college admission to graduates in the top decile of their class to enroll in college at higher rates than students living in states that do not offer this incentive (Tienda et al. 2003). This would mean that our estimates of the likelihood of enrolling in college are conservative. Another factor that most likely biases our findings in this direction is the fact that our sample is overwhelmingly urban (approximately 90% of the students live in urban areas). Although this proportion closely resembles that of the American population—according to the U.S. census (2003), 80% of Americans live in metropolitan areas—we would expect the relationship between students' propensity to remain at home during college and college enrollment rates to be even more significant when measured with data that include a good number of students from rural areas.

"Paradoxes," wrote Granovetter (1973: 1378), who knew better than anyone the weakness of strong ties, "are a welcome antidote to theories which explain everything all too neatly." Through our research, a paradox has come to light: strong family ties, considered vital to a child's success in school, can serve as an impediment to a child's educational attainment. Parents who strive to develop an encouraging and communicative relationship with their children might produce a high school honors student but not a four-year college graduate. Such a finding complicates our current wisdom about the interaction between family ties and educational outcomes. It also harkens back to a sociological tradition that endorses a science that seeks out the unanticipated consequences of action (Coser 1969, Merton 1936), a tradition that encourages researchers to look beyond a single consequence of social action and, instead, to pay heed to "the plural effects that flow from any act" (Dewey 2002 [1922]: 229). After all, as we have seen here, a proximate educational outcome of a certain social relationship may be quite dissimilar to a more distant educational outcome brought about by the very same relationship.

REFERENCES

- Amato, Paul, and Fernando Rivera. 1999. "Paternal Involvement and Children's Behavior Problems." *Journal of Marriage and Family* 61:375-84.
- Aquilino, William, and Andrew Supple. 2001. "Long-Term Effects of Parenting Practices During Adolescence on Well-Being Outcomes in Young Adulthood." *Journal of Family Issues* 22:289-308.
- Arbona, C., and A. Nora. 2007. "The influence of academic and environmental factors on Hispanic college degree attainment." *Review of Higher Education* 30(3):247-+.
- Astone, Nan M., and Sara S. McLanahan. 1991. "Family-Structure, Parental Practices and High-School Completion." *American Sociological Review* 56(3):309-20.
- Baldwin, Alfred. 1948. "Socialization and the Parent-Child Relationship." *Child Development* 19:127-36.
- Baumrind, Diana. 1971. "Current Patterns of Parental Authority." *Developmental Psychology Monograph* 4:259-71.
- Best, Karin, Stuart Hauser, and Joseph Allen. 1997. "Predicting Young Adult Competencies." *Journal of Adolescent Research* 12:90-112.
- Blau, Peter, and Otis Dudley Duncan. 1967. *The American Occupational Structure*. Wiley.
- Brooks-Gunn, Jeanne, and Lisa Markman. 2005. "The Contribution of Parenting to Ethnic and Racial Gaps in School Readiness." *The Future of Children* 15:139-68.
- Caldwell, Bettye, and Robert Bradley. 1984. *Home Observation for Measurement of the Environment, Revised Edition*. University of Arkansas Press.
- Census, U.S. 2003. *Estimates of U.S. Population*. Bureau of the Census.
- Coleman, James. 1988. "Social Capital in the Creation of Human Capital." *American Journal of Sociology* 94:95-120.
- Coser, Lewis. 1969. "Presidential Address: Unanticipated Conservative Consequences of Liberak Theorizing." *Social Problems* 16:263-272.
- Crosnoe, Robert, Rashmita Mistry, and Glen Elder. 2002. "Economic Disadvantage, Family Dynamics, and Adolescent Enrollment in Higher Education." *Journal of Marriage and Family* 64:690-702.
- Desmond, Matthew, and Ruth N. López Turley. forthcoming. "The Role of Familism in Explaining the Hispanic-White College Application Gap." *Social Problems*.
- Dewey, John. 2002 [1922]. *Human Nature and Conduct: An Introduction to Social Psychology*. Prometheus.
- Dubas, Judith Semon, and Anne Petersen. 1996. "Geographical Distance from Parents and Adjustment During Adolescence and Young Adulthood." *New Directions for Child Development* 71:3-19.
- Durkin, Kevin. 1995. *Developmental Social Psychology: From Infancy to Old Age*. Blackwell.
- Englund, Michelle, Byron Egeland, and W. Andrew Collins. 2008. "Exceptions to High School Dropout Predictions in a Low-Income Sample: Do Adults Make a Difference?" *Journal of Social Issues* 64:77--93.
- Epstein, Joyce. 1995. "School/Family/Community Partnerships: Caring for the Children We Share." *Phi Delta Kappan* 76:701-12.
- Furstenberg, Frank, and Mary Elizabeth Hughes. 1995. "Social Capital and Successful Development among At-risk Youth." *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 57:580-92.

- Glasgow, Kristan, Sanford Dornbusch, Lisa Troyer, Laurence Steinberg, and Philip Ritter. 1997. "Parenting Styles, Adolescents' Attributions, and Educational Outcomes in Nine Heterogeneous High Schools." *Child Development* 68:507-29.
- Graham, John W., Allison E. Olchowski, and Tamika D. Gilreath. 2007. "How Many Imputations are Really Needed? Some Practical Clarifications of Multiple Imputation Theory." *Prevention Science* 8:206-213.
- Granovetter, Mark. 1973. "The Strength of Weak Ties." *American Journal of Sociology* 78:1360-1380.
- Griffith, James. 1999. "Relation of Parental Involvement, Empowerment, and School Traits to Student Academic Performance." *Journal of Educational Research* 90:33-41.
- Hara, Steven, and Daniel Burke. 1998. "Parent Involvement: The Key to Improved School Achievement." *School Community Journal* 8(9-19).
- Hart, Betty, and Todd Risley. 1995. *Meaningful Differences in the Everyday Experience of Young American Children*. Brookes Publishing.
- Heymann, S. Jody, and Alison Earle. 2000. "Low-Income Parents: How Do Working Conditions Affect Their Opportunity to Help School-Age Children At Risk?" *American Educational Research Journal* 37:833-48.
- Hill, Nancy. 2001. "Parenting and Academic Socialization as They Relate to School Readiness: The Role of Ethnicity and School Income." *Journal of Educational Psychology* 93:686-97.
- Jeynes, William. 2005. "Effects of Parental Involvement and Family Structure on the Academic Achievement of Adolescents." *Marriage and Family Review* 37:99-116.
- Jimerson, Shane , Byron Egeland, Alan Sroufe, and Eve Carlson. 2000. "A Prospective Longitudinal Study of High School Dropouts Examining Multiple Predictors Across Development." *Journal of School Psychology* 38:525-49.
- Jozefowicz-Simbeni, Debra Hernandez. 2003. "Why Do They Leave? Why Do They Stay? A Qualitative and Quantitative Examination of High School Dropout." University of Michigan.
- Kuh, George, Jillian Kinzie, Jennifer Buckley, Brian Bridges, and John Hayek. 2006. *What Matters to Student Success: A Review of the Literature*. National Postsecondary Education Cooperative.
- Lareau, Annette. 2003. *Unequal Childhoods: Class, Race, and Family Life*. University of California Press.
- Lee, Jung-Sook, and Natasha Bowen. 2006. "Parent Involvement, Cultural Capital, and the Achievement Gap Among Elementary School Children." *American Educational Research Journal* 43:193-218.
- Light, A., and W. Strayer. 2004. "Who receives the college wage premium? Assessing the labor market returns to degrees and college transfer patterns." *Journal of Human Resources* 39(3):746-773.
- Marin, Gerardo, and Barbara Marin. 1991. *Research with Hispanic Populations*. Sage.
- Martinez, Charles, and Marion Forgatch. 2002. "Adjusting to Change: Linking Family Structure Transitions With Parenting and Boys' Adjustment." *Journal of Family Psychology* 16:107-17.
- McNeal, Ralph. 1999. "Parental Involvement as Social Capital: Differential Effectiveness on Science Achievement, Truancy and Dropping Out." *Social Forces* 78:117-44.

- Merton, Robert. 1936. "The Unanticipated Consequences of Purposive Social Action." *American Sociological Review* 6:894-904.
- Muller, Chandra. 1993. "Parent Involvement and Academic Achievement: An Analysis of Family Resources Available to the Child." Pp. 13-42 in *Parents, Their Children, and Schools*, edited by Barbara Schneider, and James Coleman. Westview.
- Mullis, Ronald, Richard Rathge, and Ann Mullis. 2003. "Predictors of Academic Performance during Early Adolescence: A Contextual View." *International Journal of Behavioral Development* 27:541-48.
- Otto, Luther, and Maxine Atkinson. 1997. "Parental involvement and adolescent development." *Journal of Adolescent Research* 12:68-89.
- Perna, Laura Walter , and Marvin Titus. 2005. "The Relationship between Parental Involvement as Social Capital and College Enrollment: An Examination of Racial/Ethnic Group Differences." *Journal of Higher Education* 76:485-518.
- Pong, Suet-Ling, and Dong-Beom Ju. 2000. "The Effects of Change in Family Structure and Income on Dropping Out of Middle and High School." *Journal of Family Issues* 21:147-69.
- Pribesh, Shana , and Douglas Downey. 1999. " Why are Residential and School Moves Associated with Poor School Performance?" *Demography* 36:521-34.
- Quian, Zhenchao , and Sampson Lee Blair. 1999. "Racial/Ethnic Differences in Educational Aspirations of High School Seniors." *Sociological Perspectives* 42:605-25.
- Ream, Robert. 2005. "Counterfeit Social Capital and Mexican American Underachievement." *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 25:237-62.
- Rouse, C. E. 1995. "Democratization or Diversion - the Effect of Community-Colleges on Educational-Attainment." *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 13(2):217-224.
- Rubin, D. 1987. *Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Suveys*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Schafer, J. L. 1999. "NORM: Multiple Imputation of Incomplete Multivariate Data Under a Normal Model."
- Schafer, J. L., and J. W. Graham. 2002. "Missing data: Our view of the state of the art." *Psychological Methods* 7(2):147-177.
- Scholte, Ron, Cornelis van Lieshout, and Marcel van Aken. 2001. "Perceived Relational Support in Adolescence: Dimensions, Configurations, and Adolescent Adjustment." *Journal of Research on Adolescence* 11:71-94.
- Sears, Robert, Eleanor Maccoby, Harry Levin, Edgar Lowell, Pauline Sears, John Whiting, and Jean Berwick. 1957. *Paternal of Child Rearing*. Peterson.
- Spera, Christopher. 2005. "A Review of the Relationship Among Parenting Practices, Parenting Styles, and Adolescent School Achievement." *Educational Psychology Review* 17:125-46.
- Steinberg, Laurence, Susie Lamborn, Sanford Dornbusch, and Nancy Darling. 1992. "Impact of Parenting Practices on Adolescent Achievement: Authoritative Parenting, School Involvement, and Encouragement to Succeed." *Child Development* 63:1266-81.
- Steinberg, Laurence, Susie Lamborn, Nancy Darling, Nina Mounts, and Sanford Dornbusch. 1994. "Over-Time Changes in Adjustment and Competence among Adolescents from Authoritative, Authoritarian, Indulgent, and Neglectful Families." *Child Development* 65:754-70.

- Tenenbaum, Harriet, Michelle Porche, Catherine Snow, Patton Tabors, and Stephanie Ross. 2007. "Maternal and Child Predictors of Low-Income Children's Educational Attainment." *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology* 28:227-38.
- Tienda, Marta, Kalena Cortes, and Sunny Niu. 2003. "College Attendance and the Texas Top 10 Percent Law: Permanent Contagion or Transitory Promise?" in *Conference on Expanding Opportunity in Higher Education sponsored by the Harvard Civil Rights Project*.
- Tinto, Vincent. 1993. *Leaving College: Rethinking The Causes and Cures of Student Attrition*, 2nd ed. University of Chicago Press.
- Turley, Ruth N. López. 2006. "When Parents Want Children to Stay Home for College." *Research in Higher Education* 47:823-46.
- van Gennep, Arnold. 1960 [1909]. *The Rites of Passage*. University of Chicago Press.
- White, Lynn, and Naomi Lacy. 1997. "The Effects of Age at Home Leaving and Pathways from Home on Educational Attainment." *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 59:982-95.
- Xitao, Fan, and Michael Chen. 2004. "Parental Involvement and Students' Academic Achievement: A Meta-Analysis." *Educational Psychology Review* 13:1-22.
- Zhou, Min, and Carl Bankston. 1998. *Growing Up American: How Vietnamese Children Adapt to Life in the United States*. Russell Sage Foundation.

Table 1. Items on the parent-child relationship scale (PCR)

	strongly disagree	disagree	agree	strongly agree
My parents/guardians do a good job as parents	3.04	4.40	33.68	58.87
My parents/guardians accept me as I am	3.20	8.86	33.16	54.79
I like to get my parents'/guardians' point of view on things I'm concerned about	5.70	16.83	44.67	32.80
My parents/guardians can tell when I'm upset about something	4.89	12.97	42.69	39.45
When we discuss things, my parents/guardians consider my point of view	9.28	22.37	47.93	20.42
I tell my parents/guardians about my problems and troubles	15.52	27.38	38.21	18.89
Scale reliability coefficient				0.88

Source: THEOP 2002 Seniors Wave 1

The following items were excluded due to low item-test and item-rest correlations: "My parents/guardians expect too much from me," "I don't get much attention from my parents/guardians," and "At least one of my parents/guardians is home when I get home from school"

Table 2. Summary Statistics (N=13,803), Multiple Imputation^a

	% imputed	mean	std err
Outcome Variables			
HS achievement ^b	0.96	-0.01	0.01
college-at-home preference	15.19	0.58	0.00
enrolled in BA/BS program	57.72	0.45	0.00
Predictor Variables			
parent-child relationship scale	6.48	0.00	0.01
parent involvement in school work scale	5.07	0.00	0.01
mother in household	13.26	0.88	0.00
father in household	13.26	0.66	0.00
female guardian in household	13.26	0.04	0.00
male guardian in household	13.26	0.11	0.00
number of times changed schools	15.82	1.73	0.02
parents own home	17.18	0.81	0.00
parents <HS (reference)	19.60	0.13	0.00
parents HS grad	19.60	0.21	0.00
parents some col	19.60	0.27	0.00
parents col grad	19.60	0.22	0.00
parents grad deg	19.60	0.17	0.00
parents discouraged/said nothing about college	5.12	0.06	0.00
parents encouraged college & other (reference)	5.12	0.70	0.00
parents encouraged college only	5.12	0.24	0.00
female student	12.70	0.52	0.00
foreign born student	11.61	0.16	0.00
white student (reference)	12.45	0.42	0.00
black student	12.45	0.13	0.00
hispanic student	12.45	0.36	0.00
other student	12.45	0.09	0.00
student aspires to finish college	15.08	0.78	0.00
student expects to finish college	17.68	0.72	0.00

Sources: THEOP 2002 Seniors Waves 1 & 2

^aFive datasets were combined using Rubin's rule of combination.

^bAchievement is a standardized measure of GPA and class rank.

Table 3. Regressions (N=13,803)^a

	Proximate Outcomes						Distant Outcome		
	HS Achievement ^b			College-at-Home Pref			College Enrollment		
	Coef	RSE ^c		Coef	RSE ^c		Coef	RSE ^c	
HS achievement ^b							0.52	0.03	***
college-at-home preference							-0.54	0.06	***
parent-child relationship scale	0.07	0.01	***	0.20	0.03	***	-0.01	0.04	
mother in household	0.08	0.02	***	-0.04	0.07		0.23	0.08	**
father in household	0.09	0.02	***	-0.02	0.06		0.14	0.09	
female guardian in household	0.02	0.04		-0.05	0.10		-0.15	0.14	
male guardian in household	-0.03	0.03		-0.07	0.08		-0.15	0.07	
number of times changed schools	-0.02	0.00	***	0.00	0.01		-0.04	0.01	**
parents own home	0.02	0.03		-0.19	0.07	*	0.11	0.06	*
parents <HS	ref			ref			ref		
parents HS grad	-0.04	0.03		0.07	0.09		-0.17	0.10	
parents some col	0.05	0.02	*	-0.16	0.07	*	0.00	0.08	
parents col grad	0.09	0.03	***	-0.49	0.09	***	0.29	0.08	**
parents grad deg	0.14	0.03	***	-0.77	0.08	***	0.25	0.11	*
parents discouraged/said nothing about college	-0.07	0.03		-0.32	0.10	***	-0.19	0.13	
parents encouraged college & other	ref			ref			ref		
parents encouraged college only	0.26	0.01	***	-0.82	0.04	***	0.41	0.06	***
female student	0.19	0.02	***	-0.01	0.05		-0.02	0.06	
foreign born student	0.00	0.02		0.48	0.08	***	-0.15	0.06	*
white student	ref			ref			ref		
black student	-0.27	0.04	***	0.20	0.09	*	0.15	0.07	*
hispanic student	-0.19	0.03	***	0.76	0.08	***	-0.02	0.07	
other student	0.04	0.04		0.45	0.11	***	0.25	0.08	**
student aspires to finish college	0.20	0.03	***	-0.20	0.07	**	0.56	0.15	**

student expects to finish college	0.39	0.03	***	-0.54	0.08	***	0.86	0.12	***
constant	-0.68	0.05	***	1.23	0.13	***	-1.45	0.14	***

* p < .05 ** p < .01 *** p < .001


Source: THEOP 2002 Seniors Waves 1 & 2

^aMissing values were imputed using multiple imputation (5 datasets created).

^bAchievement is a standardized measure of GPA and class rank.

^cStandard errors adjusted for clustering.

Figure 1. Proposed Model of Dynamic Effects of the Parent-Child Relationship on Proximate and Distant Educational Outcomes

I. Conventional Model**II. Proposed Model**